Publishing Process

After receiving the submitted article Editor-in-Chief assesses whether the article corresponds to profile of the journal *Disputatio philosophica* in terms of content and quality. Furthermore, article is checked for plagiarism using iThenticate softwer. Editor-in-Chief is expected to respond accordingly if there is suspected conflict of interest, plagiarism, or any other form of academic dishonesty at any step of the peer review and publication process.

In an effort to ensure academic integrity and a high level of quality of the journal itself manuscripts accepted for the peer review process are all anonymously sent to at least two peer reviewers. The Editorial and Administrative Office will not inform any other party about the reviewers assigned to a particular article. It is of great importance that the reviewers are experts in their field and a part of the international scientific community. The preferred period for finishing the peer review process is four weeks, but the Editorial and Administrative Office aims at the scientific quality of the journal and will be guided in their procedures accordingly.

After receiving the requested reviews the Editorial and Administrative Office sends anonymous reviews to the author who is obliged to revise their work according to the reviewer's comments and requests. The Editorial and Administrative Office keeps the right to evaluate the quality of the revised article and decision to accept or reject the article. If needed article can be sent to the third reviewer or second round of the reviews.

Articles accepted for publishing are edited in such a manner that they have needed structure and contain complete bibliographic information. Articles are then sent to the

proofreader for the final check. At the end of this process, articles are sent to the authors for an autorization. The issue is published in the form of pdf documents of the articles on the Journal's websites (https://hrcak.srce.hr/ojs/index.php/disputatio-philosophica/index, https://hrcak.srce.hr/disputatio-philosophica). *Disputatio philosophica* does not charge any fee for submission or for the peer review and publishing process.

Preprint, Postprint and Version of Record

Preprint – Articles may not be posted online during the review process. *Disputatio philosophica* tries to secure fair and unbiased peer review process, so it is important that the manuscript is not available online, especially accompanied by the author's personal information.

Postprint – *Disputatio philosophica* currently does not allow authors to publish postprint of their work.

Version of Record – Authors may deposit publisher's version to their websites, their institutional repository or any other repository of their choice. It is also important that deposited version is the actual version of record in PDF format that contains bibliographical information about the article and the journal.

PUBLISHING PROCESS

AUTHORS	EDITORIAL STAFF	REVIEWERS

E-MAIL SUBMISSION	→	INITIAL EVALUATION (Scope, Language, Cross-check)	→	PEER REVIEW (Double-blind)

Reject

REVISION	↔	EDITORIAL DECISION	↔	3rd REVIEW OR 2nd ROUND REVIEW

Accept

Reject

EDITING, PROOFREADING

FINAL PDF DOCUMENT

ONLINE PUBLISHING