

# International Journal on Philosophy and Religion

## **Publishing Ethics**

*Disputatio philosophica* is an international scientific journal at the Faculty of Philosophy and Religious Studies, University of Zagreb, which annually publishes articles in philosophy, religious studies, and theology. The journal publishes articles in English, German, Italian, Spanish and French language.

In an effort to ensure academic integrity and a high level of quality of the journal itself, all members of the Editorial Board and Administrative and Editorial Office of *Disputatio philosophica: International Journal on Philosophy and Religion* must adhere to high ethical standards and expect the same from authors and reviewers.

## **Administrative and Editorial Office**

The Administrative and Editorial Office aims at the scientific quality of the journal and will be guided in their procedures accordingly, primarily by ensuring an objective and professional peer review process. The content and data received in the articles, as well as the personal data of authors and reviewers, will not be available to anyone outside of the Administrative and Editorial Office of the journal *Disputatio philosophica*. Administrative and Editorial Office will treat each author and reviewer equally and will not tolerate prejudices based on race, religion and/or political affiliation, gender, sex, or any other basis. If an error occurs during the publication process, the Administrative and Editorial Office will publish an *erratum* in the next issue. In the event of serious violations of ethical standards, the Administrative and Editorial Office reserves the right to withdraw the article.

## **Editor-in-Chief**

The Editor-in-Chief is expected to assess whether the submitted article corresponds to profile of the journal *Disputatio philosophica* in terms of content and quality. Furthermore, the Editor-in-Chief is expected to respond accordingly if there is suspected conflict of interest, plagiarism, or any other form of academic dishonesty at any step of the peer review and publication process. The Editor-in-Chief should find the best possible reviewers for the given article (if needed members of the editorial board can be consulted), i.e., ensure objective reviews. Through their work, the Editor-in-Chief promotes academic integrity and ensures the quality of the journal *Disputatio philosophica*.

The Editor-in-Chief decides which articles will be published, also when making the decision, Editor-in-Chief can consult with the members of the Editorial Board if necessary. In the case of a rejected manuscript, the Editor-in-Chief is obliged to provide an explanation of the decision to the author.

#### **Editorial Board-Editorial Consultants**

The Editorial Board consists of foreign and domestic experts in the fields of philosophy, religious sciences, and theology. Members of the Editorial Board have the role of ambassadors of the journal *Disputatio philosophica* and must maintain and promote its quality. Editorial Board members help the Journal to attract high-quality manuscripts. Also, members of the Editorial Board may participate in the review process and help to identify peer reviewers as needed. The Editor-in-Chief is obliged to inform the members of the Editorial Board at least once a year about the activities undertaken and the challenges faced by the Journal.

#### **Authors**

The authors must affirm that their submission has not been published elsewhere, whether in whole or in part, in any language. The prior presentation of a work at a conference is acceptable. Period publication in conference proceedings may be acceptable, depending on the format and distribution of the proceedings. The authors need to discuss prior publication in conference proceedings with the Editor-in-Chief. Also, along with the corresponding author, all of the coauthors have to be named in the submission.

Moreover, authors must affirm that their submission is not under review by another publication, nor will be submitted for review elsewhere while under review at *Disputatio philosophica*. The authors' work must not be based on data reported in any other prior or pending publication unless the author provides the Editor-in-Chief with supporting documentation to demonstrate the unique contribution of the submission. The authors also have to guarantee that there is no conflict of interest pertinent to the subject of the article. Works previously submitted and rejected are not acceptable unless the rejection letter specifically indicated that the Editorial team would consider another improved version of the manuscript on the same topic from the author.

The authors are accountable for all the data and the claims made in their work. All citations and paraphrases in their submission have to be cited according to the most recent Chicago Manual of Style (<a href="http://www.chicagomanualofstyle.org/tools\_citationguide.html">http://www.chicagomanualofstyle.org/tools\_citationguide.html</a>). Every picture, photograph, or graphic used in the submission has to be the authors' work, available in the public domain, used in compliance with the copyrights of the authors and publishers and with appropriate citation of sources. Otherwise, the author of the submission has to have permission from the author to use their work.

Disputatio philosophica is an Open Access journal and the provisions are in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY-NC 4.0 (Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0 International License), but the authors retain copyright over their work.

Disputatio philosophica does not provide the authors with a finance fee for their submission or for the peer review and publishing process.

#### **Reviewers**

The reviewers must affirm that the article they have received is in their area of expertise. Upon receiving the article, the reviewers should warn the Administrative and Editorial Office in the case of conflict of interests. The reviewer has to contact the Administrative and Editorial Office if there is any suspicion that the work has been plagiarized or if they suspect there is any other form of violation of academic integrity.

The article they have received must not be shared, whether in whole or in part, with a third party. The reviewer cannot use any of the information or data from the article in their own work before the results have been published.

The reviewers oblige they will deliver the review in time and that the review will be constructive and in the best interest of the quality of the journal *Disputatio philosophica*.

Disputatio philosophica does not provide the reviewers with a finance fee for contributing to the peer review process.

# **PUBLISHING PROCESS**

